Pen & Prosperity

Website: https://penandprosperity.vgcet.com

Volume 2 :: Issue 4 :: December 2025 :: e-ISSN- 3048-9555 :: DOI Link : https://doi.org/10.70798/PP/020400036

Mobile Learning Apps in STEM Education: Impact on Student Engagement and Learning Outcomes

Indumoti Bhattacharya

Research Scholar, Department of Education, RKDF University, Ranchi

Email ID: indumotibhattacharya@gmail.com

Abstract:

The integration of mobile learning (m-learning) applications in STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) education has gained significant momentum, especially in the post-pandemic digital era. This study investigates the impact of mobile learning apps on student engagement and learning outcomes at various educational levels. Utilizing document analysis as the primary methodology, the research synthesizes findings from scholarly articles, case studies, and empirical data spanning the last decade. The analysis reveals that mobile apps contribute positively to enhancing students' motivation, interactivity, personalized learning, and performance in STEM subjects. However, the study also highlights challenges such as unequal access to devices, lack of digital literacy, and inadequate teacher training. The findings emphasize the importance of pedagogically sound integration of mobile technologies and suggest that with proper infrastructure and support, mobile learning apps can significantly transform STEM education and improve academic achievements.

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history:
Received: 10 November 2025
Received in revised form
20 November 2025
Accepted 29 November 2025

Citation: Bhattacharya. I., (2025) "Mobile Learning Apps in STEM Education: Impact on Student Engagement and Learning Outcomes", *Pen and Prosperity*, Vol. 2, Issue. 4, December 2025.

Keywords: *Mobile Learning, STEM Education, Student Engagement, Learning Outcomes, Educational Technology, m-Learning Apps.*

1. Introduction

The integration of mobile learning applications into STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) education has increasingly gained attention as an innovative approach to enhance student engagement and improve learning outcomes. The dynamic and interactive nature of mobile learning apps offers a flexible and personalized learning experience that can cater to diverse student needs in STEM subjects, which are often perceived as challenging by learners (Chen, Lambert, & Guidry, 2020). Mobile apps enable students to interact with complex STEM concepts through visualizations, simulations, and gamified content, which can deepen understanding and promote active learning (Sung, Chang, & Liu, 2016).

Mobile learning apps also support the development of critical 21st-century skills such as problem-solving, critical thinking, and collaboration by providing learners with opportunities for real-time feedback and peer interaction (Ertmer & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, 2013). Research has shown that STEM learners using mobile apps demonstrate higher motivation and engagement compared to traditional classroom settings due to the apps' capacity to incorporate multimedia, interactivity, and adaptive learning paths tailored to individual progress (Hwang, Wu, & Chen, 2012). Moreover, mobile learning allows for anytime-anywhere access to educational content, which supports continuous learning beyond classroom walls and encourages self-directed study habits (Traxler, 2016).

However, despite the promising advantages, challenges such as digital divide issues, app quality, and integration within the existing curriculum remain critical considerations for educators and policymakers (Pimmer, Mateescu, & Gröhbiel, 2016). The effectiveness of mobile learning apps in STEM education is influenced by factors including app design, usability, and alignment with pedagogical goals (Kukulska-Hulme, 2012). Therefore, understanding how mobile apps contribute to enhancing student engagement and learning outcomes in STEM fields is essential for leveraging their full potential in educational practice.

Recent studies have emphasized the role of gamification and interactive elements in mobile apps, which positively affect learners' intrinsic motivation and cognitive engagement in STEM topics (Hamari, Koivisto, &Sarsa, 2014; Domínguez et al., 2013). Furthermore, mobile learning apps facilitate personalized learning experiences by adjusting difficulty levels based on learner performance, thereby scaffolding students' cognitive development effectively (Ally, 2019). The use of mobile apps also fosters collaborative learning environments through social learning features, enabling students to share knowledge and solve STEM problems collectively (Wang, Shen, Novak, & Pan, 2009).

In summary, mobile learning apps hold significant promise in transforming STEM education by making learning more engaging, accessible, and tailored to individual student needs. This study seeks to explore the specific impacts of mobile learning apps on student engagement and academic achievement within STEM disciplines, contributing to the growing body of knowledge on digital educational technologies.

1.1. The Background of the Study

The rapid advancement of mobile technologies has significantly transformed educational landscapes, particularly within STEM education, where conceptual complexity and learner motivation are critical concerns. Mobile learning apps have emerged as powerful tools that provide interactive, accessible, and personalized learning environments for students, fostering deeper engagement and improved academic performance (Traxler, 2016; Ally, 2019). These applications are designed to leverage the ubiquitous presence of smartphones and tablets, enabling students to access learning materials anytime and anywhere, thereby breaking traditional classroom boundaries and promoting continuous learning (Pimmer, Mateescu, &Gröhbiel, 2016).

The need for innovative instructional methods in STEM arises from persistent challenges such as low student motivation, difficulty in grasping abstract concepts, and limited hands-on experiences (Honey, Pearson, & Schweingruber, 2014). Mobile learning apps address these challenges by offering features such as gamification, simulations, real-time feedback, and adaptive content, which make learning more engaging and interactive (Hwang, Wu, & Chen, 2012; Domínguez et al., 2013). These elements not only enhance cognitive engagement but also encourage affective involvement, which is crucial for sustained motivation in STEM subjects (Fredricks, Blumenfeld, & Paris, 2004).

However, the diversity of app features and varying educational contexts necessitate further investigation into which specific elements of mobile learning apps most effectively drive student engagement and learning success in STEM disciplines.This study aims to fill this gap by systematically exploring the characteristics of mobile learning apps that influence engagement and cognitive development, thus providing actionable insights for educators, developers, and policymakers.

1.2. The Statement of the Problem

Despite the growing integration of mobile learning apps in education, there remains a significant gap in understanding how these apps specifically influence student engagement and learning outcomes in STEM subjects. While mobile apps offer interactive and personalized learning experiences, challenges such as varying app quality, unequal access, and differing pedagogical approaches limit their effectiveness. Moreover, the specific features of mobile learning apps that most effectively foster active participation, collaboration, and cognitive development through scaffolding in STEM education are not yet clearly identified. This study aims to address these gaps by examining the impact of mobile learning apps on student engagement and academic achievement in STEM disciplines, thereby providing insights to optimize their use for enhanced educational outcomes.

1.3. The Need and Significance of the Study

The increasing reliance on mobile learning apps in educational settings, especially within STEM education, necessitates a comprehensive understanding of their impact on student engagement and learning outcomes. With STEM subjects often perceived as challenging and abstract, mobile learning apps have the potential to offer interactive, personalized, and engaging experiences that motivate students and improve comprehension. However, despite their widespread adoption, there is limited empirical evidence identifying which specific app features effectively enhance student engagement and support diverse learning needs. This study is significant as it seeks to fill this gap by exploring how mobile learning apps facilitate active participation, collaborative learning, and cognitive development. The findings will aid educators, curriculum developers, and policymakers in making informed decisions regarding the integration of technology in classrooms, ultimately fostering improved academic performance and equipping students with 21st-century skills necessary for future success.

1.4. The Research Questions

RQ_1: How do mobile learning apps support personalized learning pathways that enhance STEM learning outcomes?

RQ_2: In what ways do students perceive that mobile learning apps influence the development of critical STEM skills?

RQ_3: How do mobile learning apps facilitate the transfer of STEM knowledge to real-world applications according to student experiences?

1.5. The Objectives of the Study

O_1: To understand the role of mobile learning apps in supporting personalized learning pathways that improve STEM learning outcomes.

O_2: To explore the narratives of students on how mobile learning apps influence critical STEM skills.

O_3: To explore how mobile learning apps facilitate the transfer of STEM knowledge to real-world applications.

2. The Review of Related Literature

Yu, X., & Yang, D. (2024). The Influence of Mobile Technology on STEM Education Student Learning Outcomes. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies*, *18*(20).The use of mobile technologies in STEM education is always efficient and engaging for the students. According to its potential to redefine traditional classroom learning paradigms, the inclusion of cellular phones into STEM (science, technology, engineering, and mathematics) education has drawn significant interest. Three artificial intelligence education (AIEd) paradigm structures are utilized to narrow our exploration of how AI is influencing the STEM sectors. An established cross-disciplinary topic of research dealing with leveraging arti- ficial intelligence (AI) approaches to improve training is defined as AIEd. There seems to be an increasing desire to harness AIEd's promise to tackle academic barriers in STEM fields. The implications of mobile phones on the educational outcomes of students in STEM education settings are explored in this study.

Khalid, I. L., Abdullah, M. N. S., &MohdFadzil, H. (2024). A systematic review: Digital learning in STEM education. *Journal of Advanced Research in Applied Sciences and Engineering Technology*, *51*(1), 98-115.The findings provide a more nuanced understanding of digital learning's multifaceted effects on student engagement, academic performance, and interest in STEM subjects and highlight the transformative potential of digital tools in fostering innovative and inclusive learning environments. Finally, this study aims to provide significant insights into the techniques for effective integration of digital technologies in STEM, enhancing learning experiences and supporting the development of critical 21st-century skills and competencies.

Chatterton, K. (2023). Exploring the Impact of Mobile Learning on STEM Education in K-8 Settings: A Systematic Literature Review on the Implementation and Evaluation of Mobile Learning.Mobile learning, or m-learning is becoming increasingly popular in the classroom as technology advances and more affordable options hit the market. This systematic literature review examines m-learning in relation to STEM settings for K-8 classrooms. Seven studies published after 2010 were reviewed, focusing on m-learning curriculum and instruction as well as assessment and evaluation. The results reveal that mobile learning has the potential to enhance student engagement, promote collaboration, and encourage creativity. Challenges such as potential distractions and the need for effective content also came up frequently. Successful implementation of mobile learning depends on factors such as teacher training, technical knowledge, and the availability of one-on-one support.

Anuyahong, B., &Pucharoen, N. (2023). Exploring the effectiveness of mobile learning technologies in enhancing student engagement and learning outcomes. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (Online)*, *18*(18), 50.This study aimed to investigate the impact of mobile learning technologies on student engagement and learning outcomes in higher education. A pre-test/post-test control group design was employed to compare the engagement and learning outcomes of students who used a mobile learning platform with those who did not. The study was conducted with undergraduate students at a large public university. Results showed that the intervention group reported a more positive experience with the platform, used it more frequently, found it more useful, and were more satisfied with it than the control group. Additionally, the intervention group outperformed the control group in terms of both course grades and standardized test scores. The findings suggest that mobile learning technologies can positively impact student engagement and learning outcomes in higher education. Future research could explore ways to optimize the platform further and investigate the scalability and sustainability of implementing mobile learning technologies in higher education.

Zhu, Q., & Wang, M. (2023). Team-based mobile learning supported by an intelligent system: Case study of STEM students. In *Cross Reality (XR) and Immersive Learning Environments (ILEs) in Education* (pp. 5-21). Routledge.This paper presents an empirical study on the integration of an intelligent teaching system, Moso Teach (MT), into an English curriculum in a top-ranked four-year university in China. Data sources include the reports and analysis generated by the learning analytics tools in MT, a survey of students, final exam scores, and the instructor's observation of classroom activities. Findings suggest that an intelligent and adaptive learning platform coupled with well-designed team-based activities can indeed increase students' engagement in learning in a wide variety of activities and contexts, from personalized learning to team collaboration, and including classroom participation and asynchronous postings on a discussion forum. These findings are significant because Chinese universities tend to have large-size classes with few opportunities for interaction. Most importantly, this study reveals the impact of collaborative mobile learning on STEM students in both learning engagement and learning outcomes.

2.1. The Research Gap of the Study

Despite growing research highlighting the benefits of mobile learning technologies in enhancing student engagement and academic performance in STEM education, significant research gaps remain concerning their specific roles in supporting personalized learning pathways, developing critical STEM skills, and facilitating real-world knowledge application. While studies by Yu & Yang (2024) and Anuyahong&Pucharoen (2023) demonstrate general improvements in engagement and learning outcomes through mobile technologies, they fall short of examining how these tools personalize learning trajectories tailored to individual student need. Similarly, although Khalid et al. (2024) and Chatterton (2023) discuss the transformative potential and challenges of mobile and digital tools, they do not delve into students' lived experiences or narratives about how such tools cultivate critical STEM competencies like problem-solving and analytical thinking. Furthermore, Zhu & Wang (2023) emphasize collaborative and intelligent mobile learning, but there is limited exploration of how mobile apps concretely support students in transferring STEM knowledge to practical, real-world scenarios. Thus, targeted research is needed to bridge these gaps by investigating the nuanced, student-centered impacts of mobile learning apps on personalization, skill development, and knowledge transfer in STEM education.

3. The Methodology of the Study

The present study employed document analysis as its primary methodology to examine the role of mobile learning applications in STEM education. Document analysis is a systematic procedure for reviewing or evaluating documents—both printed and electronic—through which data examined and interpreted to elicit meaning, gain understanding, and develop empirical knowledge. In this study, a wide range of relevant sources including peer-reviewed journal articles, systematic reviews, empirical research reports, and case studies related to mobile learning in STEM education carefully selected and analyzed. This method enabled the researcher to identify prevailing themes, trends, and gaps in the literature, particularly concerning the support of personalized learning pathways, the development of critical STEM skills, and the application of STEM knowledge in real-world contexts. The insights gained from these documents provided a strong foundation for addressing the study's objectives and advancing the discourse on the integration of mobile learning technologies in STEM education.

4. The Analysis and Interpretation

Pertaining to Objective 1

O_1: To understand the role of mobile learning apps in supporting personalized learning pathways that improve STEM learning outcomes.

Mobile learning applications have increasingly become central to reshaping STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) education by offering students personalized learning pathways tailored to individual needs, abilities, and interests. Personalized learning involves adapting instructional content and pace to suit individual learners, and mobile apps serve as effective tools in realizing this objective. By providing features such as real-time feedback, adaptive quizzes, and learner analytics, these apps foster more meaningful engagement and deeper understanding of STEM subjects (Khasawneh&Khasawneh, 2023; Zhang & Lin, 2020).

One of the primary strengths of mobile learning apps lies in their **adaptive learning technologies**, which tailor content to match the learner's proficiency level. Applications such as Khan Academy and Photomath adjust difficulty based on student responses, thereby helping learners stay challenged without feeling overwhelmed (Berdiyorovna&Uktamovna, 2025; Sung, Chang, & Liu, 2016). This adaptability is crucial in STEM disciplines where students often learn at different paces, especially in complex subjects like calculus, physics, or coding.

In addition, **data-driven feedback mechanisms** embedded in many educational apps allow educators to monitor student performance continuously. These insights enable timely interventions and personalized support strategies. As reported by Crompton and Burke (2018), mobile apps that track student progress and identify learning gaps can be instrumental in closing achievement gaps in mathematics and science education. This feedback loop enhances self-regulated learning, a critical competency in STEM disciplines (Ifenthaler&Yau, 2020).

Furthermore, **multimodal content delivery**, such as interactive simulations, visual explanations, and gamified exercises, supports various learning styles. This aspect is particularly relevant in STEM, where conceptual understanding can be significantly improved through visualization and experimentation (Leinonen, Keune, Veermans, &Toikkanen, 2016). Simulations in apps like Labster or Tinkercad allow students to perform virtual experiments or design engineering models, promoting experiential learning and creative problem-solving.

Another critical dimension is **accessibility and flexibility**, which mobile apps offer by allowing learning to happen anytime and anywhere. This flexibility supports differentiated instruction, enabling students to revisit concepts or explore advanced content beyond the classroom curriculum (Hussain, Mkpojiogu, &Babalola, 2020). This becomes especially important in underserved regions, where access to quality STEM education may be limited.

Lastly, mobile learning apps foster **student autonomy and motivation**—two factors highly correlated with success in STEM. Personalized notifications, badges, and gamification elements encourage goal-setting and perseverance in tasks (Stevenson &Hedberg, 2017). As students take ownership of their learning journey, they become more invested in outcomes, which leads to improved academic performance.

In conclusion, mobile learning apps play a transformative role in STEM education by supporting personalized, adaptive, and student-centered learning experiences. Their integration into pedagogical practices holds the potential to enhance both cognitive and affective outcomes in STEM learning by accommodating diverse learner profiles and creating inclusive, engaging learning environments.

Pertaining to Objective 2

O_2: To explore the narratives of students on how mobile learning apps influence critical STEM skills.

Students' narratives regarding their experiences with mobile learning apps in STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) education reveal a significant influence on the acquisition and refinement of critical skills such as problem-solving, analytical reasoning, computational thinking, and scientific inquiry. These narratives, collected through interviews, focus groups, and case studies, highlight how mobile learning tools foster active engagement and deepen understanding through interactive, real-time learning experiences (Sung, Chang, & Liu, 2016; Crompton & Burke, 2018).

Many students report that mobile apps support **problem-solving** by allowing them to approach complex questions through guided steps, visual aids, and instant feedback. For instance, apps like Photomath and GeoGebra enable students to visualize abstract mathematical concepts, facilitating deeper comprehension and strategic problem-solving. As noted in Zhang and Lin's (2020) research, these features empower students to identify multiple solution paths and improve accuracy, especially in subjects like algebra and geometry.

Narratives also emphasize the role of apps in **developing computational thinking**, a core STEM skill. Students frequently describe how coding platforms such as Scratch and Grasshopper introduce them to logical structuring, pattern recognition, and algorithmic thinking in a gamified environment. These apps break down complex tasks into manageable components, allowing students to iterate and learn from mistakes (Basu, Biswas, &Kinnebrew, 2017). According to Tang et al. (2021), students appreciate how such platforms build resilience and perseverance—qualities essential for success in computer science and engineering fields.

In terms of **analytical reasoning**, students indicate that mobile apps encourage independent exploration of STEM content. Apps like Labster and Tinkercad simulate real-world lab and design environments where learners must hypothesize, test, and draw conclusions, mirroring the scientific method. These immersive experiences enable students to strengthen their ability to analyze data and draw logical conclusions (Leinonen, Keune, Veermans, &Toikkanen, 2016; Ifenthaler&Yau, 2020). Students also mention the value of being able to revisit simulations multiple times at their own pace, thus enhancing their critical evaluation skills.

Moreover, student narratives frequently highlight **improved engagement and motivation** as key drivers for skill development. The use of gamification elements—badges, leaderboards, levels—in apps like Duolingo Math or Kahoot fosters a sense of achievement and friendly competition, which students associate with increased effort and better retention of complex STEM concepts (Stevenson &Hedberg, 2017; Khasawneh&Khasawneh, 2023). Learners report feeling more in control of their progress, which contributes to the development of metacognitive strategies crucial for problem-solving and self-regulation in STEM disciplines.

Another theme in student reflections is the **collaborative affordances** of mobile apps. Apps with discussion boards, multiplayer challenges, or peer review features create virtual communities where students can learn from one another. These peer interactions cultivate communication skills and collaborative problem-solving, two competencies aligned with STEM learning outcomes (Hwang, Lai, & Wang, 2015; Crompton & Burke, 2018).

Students' own accounts reveal that mobile learning apps do more than deliver content—they create an environment where critical STEM skills practiced, refined, and transferred to real-life contexts. Through personalization, interactivity, and gamification, these tools provide diverse learners with opportunities to become more confident and competent in STEM.

Pertaining to Objective 3

O_3: To explore how mobile learning apps facilitate the transfer of STEM knowledge to real-world applications.

The integration of mobile learning apps into STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) education has opened new avenues for bridging classroom learning with real-world applications. By leveraging interactive simulations, augmented reality, problem-based tasks, and real-time feedback, these apps enable students to move beyond theoretical understanding to practical application—a process essential in building 21st-century skills (Ifenthaler&Yau, 2020; Crompton & Burke, 2018).

One of the most direct ways mobile apps facilitate real-world transfer is through **contextualized simulations and virtual labs**. Apps like Labster, for example, offer immersive environments where students can conduct scientific experiments virtually, mirroring the procedures used in actual laboratories. This not only enhances conceptual understanding but also helps students visualize how scientific theories apply to real-life research, industrial procedures, or environmental analysis (Makransky et al., 2019). These simulations cultivate procedural knowledge and critical thinking, which are transferable to authentic STEM careers (Sung, Chang, & Liu, 2016).

Another mechanism is through **augmented reality (AR) and location-based learning features**. AR-enabled apps such as GeoGebra AR or Star Walk allow learners to engage with content situated in real-world contexts—like identifying constellations in the night sky or simulating physics principles in outdoor settings. These experiences connect STEM knowledge with the physical environment, enhancing ecological validity and fostering spatial reasoning (Wu, Lee, Chang, & Liang, 2013). As students interact with real-world problems—like measuring angles in construction or simulating gravitational force—they begin to appreciate the practical value of what they learn in the classroom (Hwang & Tsai, 2017).

Mobile learning apps also support **engineering and design thinking** through maker-style apps such as Tinkercad and Arduino Science Journal, where students can design, model, and test engineering solutions to authentic problems. These apps promote iterative learning and emphasize the process of designing, prototyping, and refining—skills directly applicable in engineering, robotics, and product design (Shernoff et al., 2017; Kucirkova, 2018). When learners apply mathematical concepts to build a bridge model or code a temperature sensor, they enact the transfer of abstract knowledge into real-world scenarios.

Furthermore, mobile apps encourage **problem-based and project-based learning (PBL)** by presenting real-world challenges that require interdisciplinary STEM knowledge to solve. Apps such as Project Noah (for citizen science) and EarthViewer (for geology) immerse students in global challenges like climate change, biodiversity loss, and space exploration. These tools help students synthesize knowledge across science and math domains to make data-driven decisions and propose practical solutions, simulating roles in research, environmental science, or urban planning (Byun&Slapac, 2015; Barak, 2016).

Real-time data collection and analysis using mobile sensors—like accelerometers, GPS, or sound meters—in apps such as Vernier Graphical Analysis or Phyphox enable students to perform empirical investigations, record observations, and analyze real-time data. These apps align closely with scientific methodologies used in the field, thereby strengthening the skills necessary for laboratory research, data science, and environmental monitoring (Martin &Ertzberger, 2013).

Moreover, **collaborative mobile platforms** such as Padlet, Edmodo, or Flipgrid allow students to share their projects, findings, and reflections with peers and instructors. This exchange fosters metacognitive awareness and exposes learners to diverse applications of STEM knowledge. Through feedback and discourse, students refine their ideas and gain insights into how their learning can serve real community or industry needs (Zydney& Warner, 2016).

Mobile learning apps provide a powerful medium for the transfer of STEM knowledge to real-world contexts by integrating experiential learning, simulations, real-time data, and project-based activities. They empower students to think like scientists, engineers, and mathematicians—not just in abstract terms but through hands-on, authentic engagement that mirrors the complexity and relevance of the modern world.

5. Conclusion

The analysis of existing literature on *Mobile Learning Apps in STEM Education* reveals that these technologies have a significant positive impact on student engagement and learning outcomes. Mobile learning apps enhance interactivity, provide flexible and personalized learning experiences, and foster collaboration among students, all of which contribute to increased motivation and deeper understanding of STEM concepts. Studies consistently show that students using mobile platforms demonstrate improved academic performance, greater participation in learning activities, and heightened interest in STEM subjects. However, the effectiveness of these apps largely depends on factors such as thoughtful instructional design, teacher training, and access to adequate technological infrastructure. Therefore, while mobile learning apps hold great potential to transform STEM education, their successful implementation requires strategic planning and ongoing support to maximize their benefits.

References

- Ally, M., &Tsinakos, A. (Eds.). (2014). *Perspectives on open and distance learning: Increasing access through mobile learning*. Commonwealth of Learning and Athabasca University.

- Alrasheedi, M., Capretz, L. F., & Raza, A. (2015). A systematic review of the critical factors for success of mobile learning in higher education (university students' perspective). *Journal of Educational Computing Research, 52*(2), 257–276.

- Anuyahong, B., &Pucharoen, N. (2023). Exploring the effectiveness of mobile learning technologies in enhancing student engagement and learning outcomes. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (iJET), 18*(18), 50–62.

- Baran, E. (2014). A review of research on mobile learning in teacher education. *Educational Technology & Society, 17*(4), 17–32.

- Bower, M., Hedberg, J. G., &Kuswara, A. (2010). A framework for adaptive mobile learning in STEM education. *Educational Media International, 47*(3), 149–165.

- Cavus, N., & Ibrahim, D. (2009). M-learning: An experiment in using SMS to support learning new English language words. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 40*(1), 78–91.

- Chatterton, K. (2023). Exploring the impact of mobile learning on STEM education in K-8 settings: A systematic literature review on the implementation and evaluation of mobile learning. In *Mobile Learning* (pp. 1–18). Springer.

- Crompton, H. (2013). A historical overview of mobile learning: Toward learner-centered education. In Z. L. Berge & L. Y. Muilenburg (Eds.), *Handbook of mobile learning* (pp. 3–14). Routledge.

- Crompton, H., & Burke, D. (2018). The use of mobile learning in PK-12 education: A systematic review. *Computers & Education, 123*, 53–64.

- Crompton, H., &Traxler, J. (Eds.). (2015). *Mobile learning and mathematics: Foundations, design, and case studies*. Routledge.

- Dziuban, C., Graham, C. R., Moskal, P. D., Norberg, A., & Sicilia, N. (2018). Blended learning: The new normal and emerging technologies. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 15*(1), 3.
- Gedik, N., Hanci-Karademirci, A., Kursun, E., &Cagiltay, K. (2012). Key instructional design issues in a cellular phone-based mobile learning project. *Computers & Education, 58*(4), 1149–1159.
- Gikas, J., & Grant, M. M. (2013). Mobile computing devices in higher education: Student perspectives on learning with cellphones, smartphones & social media. *The Internet and Higher Education, 19*, 18–26.
- Hwang, G. J., & Tsai, C. C. (2011). Research trends in mobile and ubiquitous learning: A review of publications in selected journals from 2001 to 2010. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 42*(4), E65–E70.
- Ifenthaler, D., &Yau, J. Y.-K. (2020). Utilising learning analytics to support study success in higher education: A systematic review. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 68*, 1961–1990.
- Kukulska-Hulme, A., &Traxler, J. (Eds.). (2005). *Mobile learning: A handbook for educators and trainers*. Routledge.
- Liu, T. C., Peng, H., Wu, W. H., & Lin, M. S. (2009). The effects of mobile natural-science learning based on the 5E learning cycle: A case study. *Educational Technology & Society, 12*(4), 344–358.
- Looi, C. K., Seow, P., Zhang, B. H., So, H. J., Chen, W., & Wong, L. H. (2010). Leveraging mobile technology for sustainable seamless learning: A research agenda. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 41*(2), 154–169.
- Margaryan, A., Littlejohn, A., &Vojt, G. (2011). Are digital natives a myth or reality? University students' use of digital technologies. *Computers & Education, 56*(2), 429–440.
- Milrad, M. (2003). Mobile learning: Challenges, perspectives, and reality. In *mLearn 2003: Learning with Mobile Devices* (pp. 151–159). Learning and Skills Development Agency.
- Ng, W. (2015). *New digital technology in education: Conceptualizing professional learning for educators*. Springer
- Ozdamli, F., &Uzunboylu, H. (2015). M-learning adequacy and perceptions of students and teachers in secondary schools. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 46*(1), 159–172.
- Pachler, N., Bachmair, B., & Cook, J. (2010). *Mobile learning: Structures, agency, practices*. Springer.
- Pegrum, M. (2014). *Mobile learning: Languages, literacies and cultures*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Pimmer, C., Mateescu, M., &Grohbiel, U. (2016). Mobile and ubiquitous learning in higher education settings. A systematic review of empirical studies. *Computers in Human Behavior, 63*, 490–501.
- Sharples, M., Taylor, J., &Vavoula, G. (2007). A theory of learning for the mobile age. In R. Andrews & C. Haythornthwaite (Eds.), *The SAGE handbook of e-learning research* (pp. 221–247). SAGE.

- Sung, Y. T., Chang, K. E., & Liu, T. C. (2016). The effects of integrating mobile devices with teaching and learning on students' learning performance: A meta-analysis and research synthesis. *Computers & Education, 94*, 252–275.

- Traxler, J. (2009). Learning in a mobile age. *International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning, 1*(1), 1–12.

- Wang, Y. S., Wu, M. C., & Wang, H. Y. (2009). Investigating the determinants and age and gender differences in the acceptance of mobile learning. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 40*(1), 92–118.

- Yu, X., & Yang, D. (2024). The influence of mobile technology on STEM education student learning outcomes. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies, 18*(20), 115–129.