Pen & Prosperity
Website: https://penandprosperity.vgcet.com
Volume 2 :: Issue 3 :: September 2025 :: e-ISSN- 3048-9555 :: DOI Link : https://doi.org/10.70798/PP/020300004

# Mobile Learning and Microlearning: Redefining Learning Experiences in the Digital Age

***Pinaki Barmon***

Research Scholar, Department of Education, YBN University, Ranchi

Email ID: pinakibarmon1986@gmail.com

***Dr. Chaman Singh***

Dean, Department of Education, YBN University, Ranchi

**Abstract:**

*Mobile learning (m-learning) and microlearning are revolutionizing education by offering flexible, personalized, and accessible learning experiences tailored to the demands of the digital age. This study examines the principles, integration, benefits, and challenges of these innovative approaches, emphasizing their transformative potential in reshaping traditional education systems. Mobile learning leverages smartphones, tablets, and other portable devices to enable learning anytime and anywhere, while microlearning delivers concise, targeted content designed to enhance engagement, retention, and practical application. Together, these strategies promote learner autonomy, bridge geographical and socio-economic gaps, and foster lifelong learning habits. The paper explores how interactive features, gamification, and just-in-time learning contribute to effective knowledge transfer, making education more relevant and performance-driven. Additionally, it identifies barriers such as digital inequality, content quality concerns, distraction risks, accreditation challenges, and data security issues, emphasizing the need for strategic planning, innovation, and inclusive policies. By synthesizing theoretical perspectives and practical implications, this study provides valuable insights for educators, policymakers, and instructional designers seeking to create dynamic, equitable, and future-ready educational ecosystems in an increasingly technology-driven world.*

A R T I C L E I N F O

*Article history:*
**Received: 10** August 2025
**Received** in revised form
20 August 2025
**Accepted** 29 August 2025

**Citation: Barmon. P. & Singh. Dr. C.,** (2025) "Mobile Learning and Microlearning: Redefining Learning Experiences in the Digital Age", *Pen and Prosperity*, Vol. 2, Issue. 3, September 2025.

**Keywords:** *Mobile Learning, Microlearning, Digital Education, Lifelong Learning, Instructional Design.*

## Introduction:

Education in the digital era has transcended physical classrooms, rigid schedules, and standardized teaching methods. The integration of mobile technologies has catalyzed the development of mobile learning (m-learning), enabling learners to access educational content anytime, anywhere (Traxler, 2009). Simultaneously, the rise of microlearning—small, focused learning units delivered in short intervals—addresses the attention span and cognitive load constraints of modern learners (Hug, 2005; Thalheimer,

2017). Together, these pedagogical approaches redefine learning experiences by combining flexibility, personalization, and efficiency.

The global proliferation of smartphones and internet connectivity has positioned m-learning as a central mode of education, particularly in higher education, professional development, and corporate training. Microlearning complements this shift by providing succinct learning units, often accompanied by multimedia content, gamified interactions, and real-time feedback. This convergence responds to learners' preferences for accessible, on-demand knowledge acquisition and aligns with contemporary cognitive theories emphasizing spaced learning, chunking, and retrieval practice (Sweller, 1988; Ebbinghaus, 1885).

**Significance of the Study:**

This study is significant as it explores how mobile learning and microlearning transform education by making learning more flexible, personalized, and accessible. It highlights their potential to bridge educational gaps, promote lifelong and just-in-time learning, and prepare learners for the demands of the digital era. The research also offers insights for educators, institutions, and policymakers on designing effective, inclusive, and technology-driven learning systems, addressing challenges like the digital divide, content quality, and data privacy.

**Objectives:**

This article explores the theoretical underpinnings, pedagogical frameworks, technological enablers, and practical applications of m-learning and microlearning.

**Theoretical Foundations**

1. **Constructivist Learning Theory:** Constructivism posits that learners actively construct knowledge through experiences, reflection, and interaction (Piaget, 1972; Vygotsky, 1978). Mobile learning platforms support constructivist principles by enabling learners to engage in authentic, context-driven tasks outside traditional classrooms. For instance, location-based learning apps allow learners to explore real-world contexts, collect data, and reflect upon experiences, thus promoting meaningful knowledge construction (Jonassen, 1999).

2. **Cognitive Load Theory and Microlearning:** Cognitive load theory emphasizes the limitations of working memory and the need to optimize instructional design (Sweller, 1988). Microlearning aligns perfectly with this principle by segmenting content into small, digestible units that reduce cognitive overload and enhance comprehension (Mayer, 2009). Short learning bursts, combined with multimedia elements, facilitate information processing and retention, especially in complex or technical subjects.

3. **Self-Determination Theory:** Self-determination theory (Deci & Ryan, 1985) highlights autonomy, competence, and relatedness as key drivers of intrinsic motivation. Mobile learning empowers learners with autonomy over time, pace, and learning paths, fostering intrinsic motivation (Deci & Ryan, 2000). Microlearning supports competence by providing immediate feedback and reinforcement, enhancing learner confidence and engagement (Ryan & Deci, 2017).

4. **Connectivism and Networked Learning:** Connectivism (Siemens, 2005) emphasizes learning as the ability to navigate, interpret, and connect information across digital networks. Mobile learning platforms integrate social, collaborative, and interactive tools, enabling learners to access resources, engage in discussions, and co-construct knowledge globally (Downes, 2012). Microlearning modules often leverage social media, discussion forums, and collaborative apps to reinforce networked learning principles.

**Mobile Learning: Features and Pedagogical Implications**

Mobile learning (m-learning) has emerged as a transformative approach in modern education, capitalizing on the pervasive use of smartphones, tablets, and other portable devices to facilitate anytime, anywhere learning (Traxler, 2007). This paradigm shift aligns with the broader digital transformation of education, addressing the growing demand for accessible, flexible, and personalized instruction (Crompton, 2013). By leveraging mobile technologies, educators can transcend traditional classroom constraints, empowering learners to engage in meaningful educational experiences in a manner that suits their individual needs. Below is an expanded exploration of the core features of mobile learning and their pedagogical implications (Park, 2011).

1. **Ubiquitous Access and Flexibility:** One of the most significant advantages of mobile learning is its ability to provide learners with ubiquitous access to educational resources (Kukulska-Hulme & Traxler, 2005). Unlike traditional modes of education, which are bound by geographical and temporal limitations, m-learning enables students to connect with learning materials and instructors from any location at any time. This has made education more inclusive, particularly for individuals living in remote areas or for working professionals balancing learning with other responsibilities.

Mobile devices, equipped with high-speed internet, cloud storage, and educational applications, ensure that learners can seamlessly transition between formal classroom instruction and informal learning environments (Sharples et al., 2007). The flexibility of m-learning not only promotes lifelong learning but also accommodates diverse learning schedules, allowing education to integrate more harmoniously into learners' daily lives. From a pedagogical perspective, this flexibility supports student-centered approaches by placing control over time, pace, and place of learning directly in the hands of the learner, thereby fostering autonomy and self-regulated learning skills (Zimmerman, 2002).

2. **Personalized Learning Paths:** Mobile learning has also become a powerful tool for offering personalized education experiences (Johnson et al., 2016). Through the integration of advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning, and sophisticated Learning Management Systems (LMS), mobile learning platforms can gather data on learners' interactions, preferences, and performance metrics to create adaptive and customized learning paths (Ifenthaler & Yau, 2020).

Personalized learning pathways enable learners to engage with materials suited to their specific needs, whether they require additional practice on challenging topics or are ready to explore advanced content (Chen et al., 2020). Such personalization motivates learners by providing measurable progress and preventing overwhelm. Pedagogically, this customization aligns with constructivist theories, emphasizing the importance of building on prior knowledge (Bruner, 1961).

3. **Multimodal Learning:** Another defining feature of mobile learning is its ability to support multiple media formats (Ally, 2009). Podcasts, videos, animations, and interactive simulations cater to diverse learning styles (Fleming & Mills, 1992). The multimodal approach promotes differentiated instruction, bridging gaps in understanding and supporting students with disabilities through assistive technology (Seale, 2013).

4. **Social and Collaborative Learning:** Mobile learning environments also offer rich opportunities for social learning, aligning with Vygotsky's theory of learning through interaction (Vygotsky, 1978). Collaboration via discussion forums, peer assessment, and messaging tools creates active communities of learning (Laurillard, 2007). Informal networks built through platforms like WhatsApp or Telegram often supplement formal education (Gikas & Grant, 2013).

**Micro learning: Principles and Practices**

1. **Bite-Sized Learning Units:** Microlearning delivers content in compact, focused segments—typically ranging from 3 to 10 minutes—to address specific learning objectives (Hug, 2017; Buchem & Hamelmann, 2010). These short bursts of content are ideal for learners navigating information-dense environments, enabling them to concentrate on one concept or skill at a time. By minimizing cognitive overload and aligning with contemporary digital consumption habits, microlearning promotes efficient knowledge acquisition and better retention (Sweller, 2011; Thalheimer, 2017).

2. **Spaced Learning and Reinforcement:** Microlearning aligns with cognitive science principles of spaced repetition, where information is presented at strategic intervals to boost memory consolidation (Cepeda et al., 2006). This approach strengthens long-term retention by reinforcing key concepts over time, reducing the likelihood of forgetting. Through reminders, practice exercises, and periodic assessments, learners achieve mastery through reinforcement rather than rote memorization (Rohrer & Pashler, 2007).

3. **Interactive and Gamified Experiences:** To maintain learner interest, microlearning modules often feature interactive components such as clickable scenarios, drag-and-drop exercises, and quick quizzes (Siemens, 2014). Gamification techniques—like points, leaderboards, and badges—introduce an element of competition and fun, which enhances engagement and motivation (Deterding et al., 2011). This interactive design encourages active participation, fostering deeper understanding and learner autonomy (Domínguez et al., 2013).

4. **On-Demand and Just-in-Time Learning:** A key strength of microlearning lies in its ability to provide instant access to knowledge whenever it is needed (Pappas, 2019). Learners can quickly search and consume content to solve immediate problems, making it highly effective for workplace training, technical skill development, and professional growth (Czerkawski & Lyman, 2016). This performance support model ensures that knowledge is not only acquired but also applied in real-time contexts, improving overall learning transfer (Brown & Green, 2020).

**Integration of Mobile Learning and Microlearning**

The integration of mobile learning (m-learning) and microlearning represents a transformative shift in education, creating a learner-centered, flexible, and highly accessible ecosystem (Traxler, 2018). While mobile learning provides the infrastructure and platform for seamless, on-the-go access to educational resources, microlearning optimizes the content delivery by breaking it down into manageable, bite-sized modules (West & Vosloo, 2013). Together, they create a powerful educational model that addresses the demands of today's fast-paced, technology-driven world (Sharples et al., 2015).

Mobile devices—including smartphones, tablets, and wearable technologies—act as ubiquitous learning tools, allowing learners to engage with concise, targeted learning materials anytime and anywhere (Crompton, Burke, & Gregory, 2017). This synergy not only enhances personalization but also empowers learners to take charge of their educational journey by selecting content based on their immediate needs and goals (Ally & Prieto-Blázquez, 2014). As a result, education becomes more accessible, inclusive, and responsive to diverse learner profiles, particularly for working professionals, students in remote areas, and lifelong learners (UNESCO, 2018). Key benefits of this integration include:

• **Engagement:** Interactive microlearning modules, when delivered via mobile apps, leverage multimedia elements—such as short videos, animations, gamified quizzes, and simulations—to maintain attention and foster sustained learner interest (Huang et al., 2016). Push notifications and reminders further encourage regular engagement.

• **Retention:** The combination of mobile accessibility and focused content chunks aligns with cognitive learning principles, ensuring that learners absorb and retain information more effectively (Mayer, 2014). Microlearning's brevity paired with mobile delivery allows learners to revisit concepts easily, reinforcing memory consolidation (Cepeda et al., 2006).

• **Performance:** By making content available at learners' fingertips, this model supports just-in-time learning, enabling users to apply acquired knowledge in real-world situations, such as workplace tasks or practical problem-solving scenarios (Burke & Hutchins, 2008). This performance-driven approach improves knowledge transfer and competency development.

• **Equity and Accessibility:** Mobile-based microlearning helps democratize education by bridging the digital divide. Learners in geographically isolated or under-resourced areas gain access to quality content without the need for expensive infrastructure (Traxler, 2016). Offline access options, multilingual content, and lightweight apps further ensure inclusivity (UNESCO, 2020).

This fusion of mobile learning and microlearning not only redefines traditional education but also fosters lifelong learning habits, creating a dynamic, adaptive educational system that meets the needs of modern learners while promoting equitable access to knowledge (Siemens, 2014; Ally & Prieto-Blázquez, 2014).

**Challenges and Limitations**

While mobile learning and microlearning hold tremendous promise for transforming education, their implementation is not without obstacles (Czerkawski & Lyman, 2016). These challenges highlight the need for thoughtful planning, robust infrastructure, and continuous innovation to maximize the effectiveness of these approaches:

1. **Digital Divide:** Despite the ubiquity of mobile devices, significant disparities remain in access to reliable internet connectivity, modern devices, and digital literacy skills (UNESCO, 2018). Learners in rural or economically disadvantaged communities often struggle to access content, creating gaps in equity and inclusivity that these models aim to address (Traxler, 2016).

2. **Content Quality:** Creating high-quality, pedagogically sound microlearning modules demands expertise in instructional design, multimedia development, and user experience design (Hug, 2017). This process requires substantial time, funding, and collaboration among educators, content creators, and technologists, making widespread adoption a resource-intensive endeavor (Brown & Green, 2020).

3. **Distraction and Cognitive Overload:** Mobile devices, while convenient, are also sources of constant notifications, entertainment apps, and multitasking temptations (Mark et al., 2015). Without proper design strategies, learners may experience reduced attention spans or cognitive overload, which undermines the effectiveness of microlearning's focused delivery model (Sweller, 2011).

4. **Assessment and Accreditation:** Integrating microlearning into formal education systems presents a challenge, especially in linking short, modular learning experiences with comprehensive evaluation frameworks and recognized certifications (Gikas & Grant, 2013). Developing scalable assessment models that validate learner progress remains a work in progress.

5. **Data Privacy and Security:** Mobile learning platforms often collect and analyze learner data to personalize content (Ally & Prieto-Blázquez, 2014). Ensuring data protection, cybersecurity, and regulatory compliance (e.g., GDPR or local privacy laws) is essential for maintaining learner trust and safeguarding sensitive information (UNESCO, 2020).

These challenges emphasize the need for strategic investment, strong policy frameworks, and continuous

innovation to ensure mobile learning and microlearning achieve their full potential as equitable, engaging, and effective educational tools (Traxler, 2018).

**Conclusion:**

Mobile learning and microlearning represent a profound shift in educational paradigms, emphasizing flexibility, personalization, engagement, and accessibility. By integrating mobile technologies with bite-sized, targeted learning experiences, education in the digital era can move beyond the limitations of time, place, and traditional instructional methods. Learners benefit from self-paced, just-in-time learning, while educators gain the ability to deliver contextually relevant, interactive, and scalable content.

The combined potential of mobile learning and microlearning addresses contemporary educational challenges, including limited attention spans, diverse learning needs, and the demand for lifelong learning. While challenges persist—particularly regarding access, quality, and assessment—the strategic integration of these approaches holds the promise of transforming learning experiences, equipping learners with the skills, knowledge, and motivation to thrive in an increasingly digital and interconnected world.

**References**


- Ally, M. (2009). Mobile learning: Transforming the delivery of education and training. Athabasca University Press.
- Ally, M., & Prieto-Blázquez, J. (2014). What is the future of mobile learning in education? RUSC. Universities and Knowledge Society Journal, 11(1), 142-151.
- Brown, A., & Green, T. (2020). The essentials of instructional design: Connecting fundamental principles with process and practice. Routledge.
- Bruner, J. S. (1961). The act of discovery. Harvard Educational Review, 31(1), 21-32.
- Buchem, I., & Hamelmann, H. (2010). Microlearning: A strategy for ongoing professional development. eLearning Papers, 21.
- Burke, L. A., & Hutchins, H. M. (2008). A study of best practices in training transfer and proposed model of transfer. Human Resource Development Quarterly, 19(2), 107-128.
- Cepeda, N. J., Pashler, H., Vul, E., Wixted, J. T., & Rohrer, D. (2006). Distributed practice in verbal recall tasks: A review and quantitative synthesis. Psychological Bulletin, 132(3), 354–380.
- Chen, B., deNoyelles, A., Patton, K., & Zydney, J. M. (2020). Creating personalized learning pathways with adaptive learning systems. EDUCAUSE Review.
- Crompton, H. (2013). A historical overview of mobile learning: Toward learner-centered education. In Z. L. Berge & L. Y. Muilenburg (Eds.), Handbook of mobile learning (pp. 3-14). Routledge.
- Crompton, H., Burke, D., & Gregory, K. H. (2017). The use of mobile learning in PK-12 education: A systematic review. Computers & Education, 110, 51-63.
- Czerkawski, B. C., & Lyman, E. W. (2016). An instructional design framework for fostering student engagement in online learning environments. TechTrends, 60(6), 532-539.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior. Springer.

- Domínguez, A., Saenz-de-Navarrete, J., de-Marcos, L., Fernández-Sanz, L., Pagés, C., & Martínez-Herráiz, J.-J. (2013). Gamifying learning experiences: Practical implications and outcomes. Computers & Education, 63, 380-392.
- Downes, S. (2012). Connectivism and connective knowledge: Essays on meaning and learning networks. National Research Council Canada.
- Deterding, S., Dixon, D., Khaled, R., & Nacke, L. (2011). From game design elements to gamefulness: Defining gamification. Proceedings of the 15th International Academic MindTrek Conference: Envisioning Future Media Environments, 9-15.
- Ebbinghaus, H. (1885). Memory: A contribution to experimental psychology. Teachers College, Columbia University.
- Fleming, N. D., & Mills, C. (1992). Not another inventory, rather a catalyst for reflection. To Improve the Academy, 11(1), 137-155.
- Gikas, J., & Grant, M. M. (2013). Mobile computing devices in higher education: Student perspectives on learning with cellphones, smartphones & social media. Internet and Higher Education, 19, 18-26.
- Huang, R. H., Spector, J. M., & Yang, J. (2016). Educational technology: A primer for the 21st century. Springer.
- Hug, T. (2005). Micro learning and narration. Exploring possibilities of utilization of narrations and storytelling for the designing of "micro units" and didactical micro-learning arrangements. Media in Transition, 5.
- Hug, T. (2017). Didactics of microlearning: Concepts, discourses and examples. Waxmann Verlag.
- Ifenthaler, D., & Yau, J. Y. K. (2020). Utilising learning analytics for study success: Reflections on current empirical findings. Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning, 15(1), 1-15.
- Johnson, L., Becker, S. A., Cummins, M., Freeman, A., Ifenthaler, D., & Vardaxis, N. (2016). Horizon Report: 2016 Higher Education Edition. EDUCAUSE.
- Jonassen, D. H. (1999). Designing constructivist learning environments. In C. M. Reigeluth (Ed.), Instructional-design theories and models: A new paradigm of instructional theory (Vol. 2, pp. 215–239). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Kukulska-Hulme, A., & Traxler, J. (2005). Mobile learning: A handbook for educators and trainers. Routledge.
- Laurillard, D. (2007). Pedagogical forms for mobile learning. In N. Pachler (Ed.), Mobile learning: Towards a research agenda (pp. 153-175). WLE Centre, IoE.
- Mark, G., Gudith, D., & Klocke, U. (2015). The cost of interrupted work: More speed and stress. Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 107-110.
- Mayer, R. E. (2009). Multimedia learning (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Mayer, R. E. (2014). The Cambridge handbook of multimedia learning. Cambridge University Press.
- Pappas, C. (2019). Microlearning: A beginner's guide to powerful corporate training. eLearning Industry.

- Park, Y. (2011). A pedagogical framework for mobile learning: Categorizing educational applications of mobile technologies into four types. International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 12(2), 78-102.
- Piaget, J. (1972). The psychology of the child. Basic Books.
- Rohrer, D., & Pashler, H. (2007). Increasing retention without increasing study time. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 16(4), 183–186.
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2017). Self-determination theory: Basic psychological needs in motivation, development, and wellness. Guilford Publications.
- Seale, J. (2013). E-learning and disability in higher education: Accessibility research and practice. Routledge.
- Sharples, M., Taylor, J., & Vavoula, G. (2007). A theory of learning for the mobile age. In R. Andrews & C. Haythornthwaite (Eds.), The SAGE handbook of e-learning research (pp. 221-247). SAGE Publications.
- Sharples, M., Arnedillo-Sánchez, I., Milrad, M., & Vavoula, G. (2015). Mobile learning. Springer.
- Siemens, G. (2005). Connectivism: A learning theory for the digital age. International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning, 2(1), 3-10.
- Siemens, G. (2014). Connectivism: A learning theory for the digital age. In The International Encyclopedia of Education.
- Sweller, J. (1988). Cognitive load during problem solving: Effects on learning. Cognitive Science, 12(2), 257–285.
- Sweller, J. (2011). Cognitive load theory. Psychology of Learning and Motivation, 55, 37–76.
- Thalheimer, W. (2017). The spacing effect: How to improve learning and maximize retention. Work-Learning Research.
- Traxler, J. (2007). Defining, discussing and evaluating mobile learning: The moving finger writes and having writ.... International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning, 8(2).
- Traxler, J. (2009). Learning in a mobile age. International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning, 1(1), 1-12.
- Traxler, J. (2016). Mobile learning: The future already happened. In M. Ally & A. Tsinakos (Eds.), Perspectives on open and distance learning: Increasing access through mobile learning (pp. 3-16). Commonwealth of Learning and Athabasca University.
- Traxler, J. (2018). Learning with mobiles: The future of education? In M. Ally & A. Tsinakos (Eds.), Increasing access through mobile learning (pp. 25–39). Commonwealth of Learning.
- UNESCO. (2018). Mobile learning week 2018: Skills for a connected world. UNESCO.
- UNESCO. (2020). Education in a post-COVID world: Nine ideas for public action. UNESCO.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.
- West, M., & Vosloo, S. (2013). UNESCO policy guidelines for mobile learning. UNESCO.

- Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. Theory Into Practice, 41(2), 64-70.
- Mr Susovan Pradhan, Sk Rasid Mahammad, Mr Amit Adhikari Manikanta Paria, Arun Maity (2023) Job Satisfaction Among Secondary School Teachers In Paschim Medinipur District In The Present Context, Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results¦ Volume-14 issue-3.
- Maity, A.et al (2023). Correlation between study habit, test anxiety and academic achievement of the male and female B.Ed. college students. *Journal for ReAttach Therapy and Developmental Diversities, 6*(9s), 1872–1880. https://doi.org/10.53555/jrtdd.v6i9s.2660
- Maity, A. (2020). Collaborative active learning: An effective study at training colleges. In *Transition from traditional teaching methodology to online teaching* (ISBN: 978-81-946375-3-0). Redshine Publication.
- Maity, A. (2025). Teacher effectiveness in relation to ICT acquaintance among secondary teachers of Medinipur District of West Bengal: A study on demographic variables. *American Journal of Social and Humanitarian Research, 6*(5), 1108–1118. https://globalresearchnetwork.us/index.php/ajshr/article/view/3641
- Maity, A. (2020). Investigating the benefits of project-based learning in science education. In *New trends of teaching, learning and technology* (Vol. 1). Redshine Publication.
- Maity, A., et al..(2023). Job satisfaction among secondary school teachers in Paschim Medinipur district in the present context. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results, 14*(3).
- Maity, A., Sanuar, S., & Ghosh, D. (2024). An assessment of the socio-economic status of the minority girls students at secondary level in Paschim Medinipur district of West Bengal. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice, 30*(5), 9123–9127. https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i5.4522
- Maity.A & Maity.N (2025) Disparity in Political Participation in the Local Bodies: A Case Study of Ranchi, Intersections of Faith and Culture*: AMERICAN Journal of Religious and Cultural Studies* Volume 3, Issue 6, 2025 ISSN (E): 2993-2599, DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15738399.
- Maity, A. . (2023). NATIONAL CURRICULUM FRAMEWORK FOR TEACHER EDUCATION: A NEW HORIZON IN TEACHER EDUCATION AS ENVISIONED IN NEP 2020. *JOURNAL OF EDUCATION, ETHICS AND VALUE*, *2*(9), 45–50. Retrieved from https://jeev.innovascience.uz/index.php/jeev/article/view/223. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15738450
- Roy, S., & Bairagya, S. (2019). Conceptualisation of pedagogical content knowledge (PCK) of science from Shulman's notion to Refined Consensus Model (RCM): A journey. *Education India Journal: A Quarterly Refereed Journal of Dialogues on Education, 8*(2), 55–59.
- Majumder. R & Bairagya. S (2025) Exploring Teachers' Perceptions on the Provisions of NEP 2020 for Teachers, Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development,vol-3 Isuue-3.
- Maity.N, Maity.A,, Bairagya.S,(2024) Innovation In Teaching- Learning Process: Requirement Of The Present Era, Perspective Issues And Research In Teacher Education Isbn 978-93-92522-26-0.
- Bairagya, S(2021). Models of Teaching: Bruner and Ausubel, Educational Technology: Essentials, Approaches and trends, Redshine