

## Innovative Teaching Strategies for Better Student Engagement and Tips of Implementation

*Jannatul Saheli*

Head Teacher, Srikhanda Dulepara F.P. School, WBBPE

Email ID: [mailjannatulsaheli@gmail.com](mailto:mailjannatulsaheli@gmail.com)

### Abstract:

*The growing demand for dynamic, learner-centred education in the 21<sup>st</sup> century highlights the need for innovative teaching strategies that foster deeper student engagement and meaningful learning outcomes. This study explores the role of innovative pedagogical practices—such as flipped classrooms, gamification, project-based learning, collaborative learning, inquiry-based approaches, and technology integration—in enhancing behavioural, emotional, and cognitive engagement among students. Conducted through a qualitative document analysis of peer-reviewed literature, the study synthesizes existing evidence to evaluate the effectiveness of these strategies and provide practical tips for successful implementation. Findings reveal that innovative methods not only increase motivation and participation but also promote critical thinking, creativity, collaboration, and problem-solving skills essential for lifelong learning. Additionally, the study identifies challenges in implementation, including teacher preparedness, resource limitations, and the risk of superficial application when technology is not meaningfully integrated. To address these barriers, recommendations include professional development for educators, gradual adoption of strategies, alignment with learning objectives, differentiated instruction for inclusivity, and reflective teaching practices. The study concludes that innovative teaching should be viewed not merely as the adoption of new techniques but as a transformation of pedagogy toward student-centred, future-ready education. By effectively engaging learners and equipping them with essential 21<sup>st</sup>-century skills, innovative teaching strategies can significantly contribute to educational excellence and long-term student success.*

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

**Received:** 10 August 2025

**Received** in revised form  
20 August 2025

**Accepted** 29 August 2025

**Citation:** Saheli, J., (2025)

“Innovative Teaching Strategies for Better Student Engagement and Tips of Implementation”, *Pen and Prosperity*, Vol. 2, Issue. 3, September 2025.

**Keywords:** *Innovative Pedagogy, Student Engagement, Flipped Classroom, Gamification, Project-Based Learning, Qualitative Analysis, 21<sup>st</sup>-Century Education.*

### 1. Introduction:

Education in the 21<sup>st</sup> century is undergoing rapid transformation, influenced by global technological advancements and changing student needs. Student engagement, which refers to the degree of attention, interest, and participation students demonstrate in their learning, is a central concern for educators and policymakers (Fredricks, Blumenfeld, & Paris, 2004). Traditional lecture-based methods, while effective for content delivery, often fail to sustain motivation, particularly among diverse learners (Prince, 2004).

Consequently, there is a pressing demand for innovative teaching strategies that prioritize active learning and collaboration over rote memorization.

Engagement is multidimensional, encompassing behavioral, emotional, and cognitive domains. Behavioral engagement refers to participation in academic and social activities, emotional engagement involves students' affective reactions, and cognitive engagement reflects investment in deep learning (Reschly & Christenson, 2012). Innovative strategies seek to enhance all three dimensions, ensuring that learning becomes not only informative but also transformative.

Flipped classrooms, where students access content before class and use classroom time for application, have shown significant promise in promoting active involvement (Bishop & Verleger, 2013). Similarly, gamification applies game-based elements like rewards, challenges, and leaderboards, which sustain motivation and encourage problem-solving (Deterding et al., 2011). Project-based and inquiry-driven approaches empower students to explore real-world issues, fostering creativity and collaboration (Thomas, 2000). Technology integration, through digital tools, online platforms, and adaptive learning systems, has further revolutionized engagement by offering personalized and interactive learning environments (Hew & Brush, 2007).

However, integrating innovation requires addressing challenges such as resource limitations, teacher preparedness, and curriculum rigidity. Research highlights that successful implementation depends on gradual adoption, professional development, and continuous feedback (Johnson & Johnson, 2009; Tomlinson, 2001).

The purpose of this study is to examine the effectiveness of innovative teaching strategies in enhancing student engagement, drawing insights from qualitative analysis of published academic sources. This research emphasizes not only the pedagogical theories underpinning engagement but also practical tips educators can employ for meaningful classroom transformation.

## **2. Review of Literature:**

Ibrahim and Sleem (2018) examined the impact of team-based learning (TBL) on student engagement in a nursing administration course using a quasi-experimental design. The study involved 251 students divided into experimental (n=128) and control (n=123) groups. Data were collected through questionnaires assessing knowledge, readiness, engagement, and learning preferences. Results showed significant improvements in academic challenge, active and collaborative learning and student-staff interactions for the TBL group compared to traditional methods. However, no significant differences were noted in enriching experiences or supportive environments. Most students in the experimental group expressed a preference for TBL over conventional learning approaches.

Heilporn et al. (2021) explored how educators promote student engagement in blended learning (BL), including blended online and synchronous formats. Using twenty semi-structured interviews with teachers across disciplines in four universities, the study adopted an inductive approach to identify strategies for fostering engagement. Findings were categorized into course structure and pacing, choice of activities, and the teacher's role in relationships. Engagement was mapped to behavioural, emotional, and cognitive dimensions. The research emphasized clear communication, balanced use of synchronous and asynchronous modes, trust-building, and digital tools, while highlighting collaboration and experience-sharing as crucial for graduate student engagement.

Sarkar and Chakraborty (2024) examined innovative teaching strategies designed to improve student engagement in classrooms. Their study reviewed modern pedagogical methods and empirical evidence,

highlighting effective approaches such as technology integration, collaborative learning, differentiated instruction, and gamification. The research also emphasized the significance of personalized learning and formative assessments in sustaining student interest and participation. By synthesizing insights from varied educational contexts, the authors underscored the need for adaptable and inclusive teaching practices. They concluded that fostering engagement requires continuous innovation and responsiveness, as no single strategy can universally address diverse learner needs.

Zhang et al. (2024) investigated how teaching strategies influence students' online learning engagement, considering the mediating role of emotional engagement and the moderating effect of teacher expectations. Using stratified sampling, data were gathered from 1,200 Chinese primary and secondary students through an online learning engagement survey. Structural equation modelling revealed that students' perceptions of teachers' emotional support significantly shaped their engagement. The study emphasized the need for educators to combine academic instruction with emotional connection, while maintaining balanced expectations. Incorporating warmth, responsiveness, and realistic demands was recommended to create supportive environments that enhance student motivation and engagement.

Kexin and Buang (2024) investigated the role of innovative teaching strategies-flipped classrooms, blended learning, and task-oriented methods-in improving vocational IT education. Grounded in Constructivist and Experiential Learning theories, the study reviewed literature comparing these approaches with traditional teaching. Findings revealed that such strategies enhance student engagement, promote flexible and self-paced learning, and strengthen practical IT skills. The analysis emphasized integrating these methods for maximum effectiveness while highlighting the importance of institutional support, teacher training, and resources. The authors also identified research gaps, suggesting future studies on long-term impacts, cross-cultural contexts, and emerging technologies in vocational education.

The reviewed studies collectively highlight that innovative teaching strategies-ranging from blended learning and flipped classrooms to team-based and emotionally supportive approaches-significantly enhance student engagement. However, while most emphasize positive outcomes such as improved collaboration, motivation, and practical skills, some gaps remain. For instance, certain domains like supportive environments and enriching experiences show limited improvement (Ibrahim & Sleem, 2018). Moreover, many studies rely on specific contexts, such as vocational IT education or online platforms, limiting generalizability. Future research should adopt longitudinal and cross-cultural approaches to assess sustainability and adaptability of these strategies, ensuring inclusivity across diverse learning environments.

### **3. Significance of the Study:**

This study holds importance for educators, policymakers, and curriculum designers who aim to improve student engagement in classrooms. Engagement is strongly correlated with academic achievement, critical thinking, and lifelong learning skills (Trilling & Fadel, 2009). In an era where students are exposed to digital distractions and information overload, innovative teaching becomes essential to capture attention and sustain teach (Fredricks et al., 2004). By reviewing evidence from scholarly literature, this study provides insights into strategies that align with 21st-century educational goals, such as collaboration, problem-solving, and adaptability. Furthermore, it addresses practical barriers to implementation by offering tips for gradual integration, ensuring inclusivity, and promoting reflective learning. Ultimately, the research not only advances academic discourse but also serves as a practical guide for teachers seeking effective classroom strategies.

### **4. Objectives of the Study:**

- To identify innovative teaching strategies that enhances student engagement.

- To examine the impact of these strategies on critical thinking, creativity, and collaboration.
- To provide practical tips for implementing innovative pedagogy effectively.

## **5. Methodology:**

This study adopts a qualitative research design based on document analysis. Peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and credible educational reports from 2000–2024 were reviewed to explore different innovative strategies and their impact on student engagement. Thematic analysis (Clarke & Braun, 2014) was used to identify recurring strategies, outcomes, and implementation tips. This method ensured that the findings are grounded in existing scholarly discourse and practical case studies.

## **6. Analysis:**

### **Objective 1: To identify innovative teaching strategies that enhances student engagement:**

Innovative teaching strategies have emerged as essential tools for engaging students in today’s complex educational environment. Unlike traditional lecture-based approaches, which often prioritize passive absorption of knowledge, these strategies seek to transform classrooms into dynamic spaces where students are active participants in their own learning. Based on document analysis, the most significant strategies identified include flipped classrooms, gamification, project-based learning, collaborative learning, experiential learning, inquiry-based learning, differentiated instruction, blended learning, and technology integration.

### **Flipped Classrooms:**

The flipped classroom model redefines the teaching-learning process by shifting instructional content outside the classroom, usually through videos or readings, and reserving class time for application and problem-solving (Bishop & Verleger, 2013). This approach enhances engagement as students arrive prepared, ready to interact and participate in higher-order learning activities. Document analysis revealed that students in flipped classrooms reported improved motivation, interaction, and conceptual understanding, highlighting the strategy’s potential for enhancing behavioural and cognitive engagement.

### **Gamification:**

Gamification involves the integration of game-based elements such as points, leaderboards, levels, and rewards into educational settings. Studies reviewed (Deterding et al., 2011) emphasize that gamification significantly enhances motivation and persistence by tapping into students’ competitive and goal-oriented instincts. It sustains attention, provides immediate feedback, and fosters collaborative play, thereby engaging learners emotionally and behaviourally.

### **Project-Based Learning (PBL):**

Thomas (2000) defined PBL as an approach where students engage in extended inquiry-based projects addressing real-world challenges. Document analysis shows that PBL promotes ownership of learning, deepens understanding, and nurtures problem-solving skills. Students become actively engaged in teamwork, communication, and research, thereby demonstrating higher levels of both emotional and cognitive engagement compared to traditional assignments.

### **Collaborative Learning:**

Johnson and Johnson (2009) highlight cooperative learning as one of the most powerful pedagogical tools for promoting engagement. By working in groups, students assume shared responsibility, learn from peers, and actively engage in dialogue and knowledge construction. Collaborative learning nurtures behavioural engagement (through participation) and emotional engagement (through peer support and shared success).

### **Experiential Learning:**

Kolb (1984) proposed that knowledge is created through the transformation of experience, which is central to experiential learning. Simulations, role-plays, fieldwork, and lab-based experiments allow students to actively engage in real-world scenarios. Such activities were found to enhance both emotional and cognitive engagement, as students relate theory to practice.

### **Inquiry-Based Learning:**

Inquiry-driven pedagogy encourages curiosity, exploration, and questioning. According to Pedaste et al. (2015), inquiry-based learning strengthens higher-order thinking and allows learners to take an investigative stance. Engagement increases as students explore areas of personal interest within the curriculum framework.

### **Differentiated Instruction:**

Tomlinson (2001) emphasizes the need to tailor lessons to meet the diverse abilities and interests of students. Differentiation through varied assignments, flexible grouping, and choice maximizes inclusivity and ensures engagement across ability levels.

### **Technology Integration:**

Hew and Brush (2007) observed that technology-enabled classrooms enhance interactivity and personalization. From digital platforms to adaptive learning software and collaborative tools, technology fosters both cognitive and behavioural engagement, making learning more accessible and appealing.

### **Blended Learning:**

Graham (2006) identified blended learning—an integration of online and face-to-face learning—as a model that provides flexibility while sustaining engagement. Students gain autonomy in online environments while still benefiting from classroom interaction.

From the analysis of these strategies, it is clear that innovative approaches create multidimensional engagement by combining motivation, collaboration, critical thinking, and personalized learning experiences.

### **Objective 2: To examine the impact of these strategies on critical thinking, creativity, and collaboration:**

The second objective focuses on the role of innovative teaching methods in developing higher-order skills that extend beyond surface-level engagement. Document analysis reveals that innovative pedagogy strengthens critical thinking, creativity, and collaboration—skills vital for 21st-century learning.

### **Critical Thinking:**

Flipped classrooms, inquiry-based learning, and PBL provide opportunities for problem-solving and analysis rather than rote memorization. Prince (2004) argued that active learning significantly enhances critical thinking by challenging students to evaluate, apply, and synthesize knowledge. In flipped classrooms, students use class time to debate, solve problems, and analyse case studies, reinforcing critical reasoning. Similarly, inquiry-based tasks encourage students to construct arguments, analyze evidence, and question assumptions.

### **Creativity:**

Creativity flourishes in environments that encourage experimentation, innovation, and risk-taking. Project-based learning, gamification, and experiential methods support creative thinking by allowing students to design solutions, develop prototypes, and present original ideas (Thomas, 2000). Gamification encourages creative problem-solving by presenting learners with challenges that require innovative strategies. Experiential learning promotes creativity by immersing students in novel contexts where they must adapt and respond.

### **Collaboration:**

Collaborative and cooperative learning strategies are explicitly designed to enhance teamwork and social skills. Johnson and Johnson (2009) highlight that cooperative tasks increase accountability and strengthen interpersonal relationships. Group projects, debates, and peer teaching activities allow students to learn from diverse perspectives, building collaborative competencies essential for professional and academic success.

The integration of these innovative methods ensures that engagement is not only motivational but also transformative, equipping students with skills needed in knowledge-based economies. Thematic synthesis shows that critical thinking is best supported through inquiry and analysis-driven models, creativity thrives in project- and experience-based environments, and collaboration flourishes in cooperative and technology-mediated learning.

### **Objective 3: To provide practical tips for implementing innovative pedagogy effectively:**

While the benefits of innovation are evident, effective implementation requires strategic planning and adaptation to context. Document analysis identifies recurring themes in implementation strategies:

- **Gradual Adoption:** Introducing one method at a time prevents overwhelming both teachers and students (Tomlinson, 2001). For instance, educators might begin with flipped lessons once a week before transitioning into full flipped instruction.
- **Alignment with Curriculum Objectives:** Engagement strategies should not be adopted in isolation but must be tied to intend learning outcomes (Fredricks et al., 2004). Gamification, for example, should reward mastery of concepts rather than superficial completion of tasks.
- **Teacher Training and Professional Development:** Studies highlight the necessity of equipping educators with the knowledge and confidence to use new pedagogies effectively (Hew & Brush, 2007). Workshops, peer mentoring, and reflective practice play a crucial role.
- **Encouraging Student Feedback:** Active inclusion of student voices ensures that strategies remain relevant and impactful. Feedback can be gathered through surveys, reflections, or informal discussions.

- **Leveraging Technology Wisely:** Technology should complement pedagogy rather than dominate it. Educators must ensure that digital tools serve learning goals and avoid distractions.
- **Inclusivity and Differentiation:** Implementation should account for varied learning needs, including those of differently-abled students, by providing multiple access points to content (Tomlinson, 2001).
- **Promoting Reflection:** Encouraging students to reflect on their learning experiences consolidates engagement and reinforces self-regulation (Kolb, 1984).

Thus, implementation success lies in contextual adaptation, continuous evaluation, and commitment to long-term pedagogical transformation.

## 7. Findings:

### Identifying Innovative Teaching Strategies:

The analysis confirmed that strategies such as flipped classrooms, gamification, project-based learning, collaborative learning, experiential learning, inquiry-based learning, differentiated instruction, blended learning, and technology integration significantly enhance student engagement. Engagement was observed across three domains:

- **Behavioral:** Increased attendance, participation, and on-task behavior.
- **Emotional:** Greater enthusiasm, enjoyment, and reduced boredom.
- **Cognitive:** Deeper understanding, critical analysis, and application of concepts.

The findings align with Prince (2004) and Fredricks et al. (2004), reinforcing the argument that active learning is superior to passive instruction for engagement.

### Impact on Critical Thinking, Creativity, and Collaboration:

Innovative pedagogies were found to not only enhance engagement but also develop higher-order skills:

- **Critical Thinking:** Inquiry-based and flipped methods promote analytical reasoning and problem-solving.
- **Creativity:** Project-based and experiential learning encourage innovation, originality, and risk-taking.
- **Collaboration:** Cooperative and technology-mediated learning strengthen teamwork, accountability, and communication.

These findings support Thomas (2000) and Johnson & Johnson (2009), confirming that innovative pedagogy prepares learners for 21<sup>st</sup>-century skills.

### Practical Implementation Tips:

The study revealed several best practices for effective implementation:

- Gradual adoption ensures smoother transition and acceptance.

- Alignment with learning objectives sustains relevance.
- Professional development builds teacher readiness.
- Feedback systems enhance responsiveness to student needs.
- Balanced use of technology prevents distraction.
- Inclusivity through differentiation ensures engagement across diverse learners.
- Reflection consolidates learning outcomes.

The findings highlight that innovation is most successful when pedagogical creativity is combined with systematic planning and support.

## **8. Discussion:**

The findings of this study confirm that innovative teaching strategies significantly enhance student engagement by fostering active participation, motivation, and deeper learning. Consistent with Fredricks et al. (2004), engagement was observed across behavioral, emotional, and cognitive dimensions, highlighting the holistic benefits of innovation in pedagogy. Flipped classrooms, gamification, and project-based learning were particularly effective in ensuring active involvement, aligning with Prince's (2004) argument that interactive approaches outperform traditional lecture-based instruction. The integration of innovative pedagogy also contributes to higher-order skills such as critical thinking, creativity, and collaboration. For instance, inquiry-based and flipped methods promoted analytical reasoning, while project-based and experiential learning fostered innovation and real-world application. Similarly, cooperative learning strengthened peer collaboration and accountability, echoing Johnson and Johnson's (2009) findings on the power of social interdependence in education. These results suggest that innovative teaching strategies not only enhance immediate engagement but also prepare students for lifelong learning and professional contexts requiring adaptability and teamwork.

Despite these benefits, challenges remain. Implementation often requires significant teacher training, resource allocation, and curriculum restructuring. Hew and Brush (2007) caution that without adequate support, technology integration risks becoming superficial rather than transformative. Furthermore, gamification, if poorly designed, may reduce learning to a set of mechanical rewards rather than meaningful understanding (Deterding et al., 2011). This highlights the importance of aligning innovative strategies with learning objectives and maintaining balance between novelty and substance. Another key implication is the need for inclusivity. Tomlinson (2001) emphasizes that differentiated instruction is critical to ensure that engagement strategies address the needs of diverse learners, including those with varying abilities, backgrounds, and learning preferences. Inclusivity strengthens the long-term effectiveness of innovation, ensuring that student-centred pedagogy benefits all learners equitably.

The discussion underscores that while innovative teaching strategies present challenges, their potential for transforming engagement and learning outcomes is profound. With thoughtful implementation, ongoing evaluation, and institutional support, innovation in pedagogy can become a sustainable driver of educational excellence in the 21<sup>st</sup> century.

## **9. Conclusion:**

Innovative teaching strategies are essential for enhancing student engagement in contemporary education. Flipped classrooms, gamification, project-based learning, and technology integration foster active

participation, motivation, and higher-order thinking. However, successful implementation requires alignment with learning goals, professional development for educators, and inclusivity. By adopting innovation thoughtfully, educators can transform classrooms into dynamic environments that prepare students for lifelong learning and global challenges.

## References:

- Bairagya, S. (2021). Models of teaching: Bruner and Ausubel. In *Educational technology: Essentials, approaches and trends*. Redshine.
- Bishop, J. L., & Verleger, M. A. (2013). The flipped classroom: A survey of the research. *ASEE National Conference Proceedings* 30(9), 1–18. [<https://doi.org/10.18260/1-2--22585>](<https://doi.org/10.18260/1-2--22585>)
- Clarke, V., & Braun, V. (2014). Thematic analysis. In T. Teo (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of critical psychology* (pp. 1947–1952). Springer. [[https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-5583-7\\_311](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-5583-7_311)] ([https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-5583-7\\_311](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-5583-7_311))
- Deterding, S., Dixon, D., Khaled, R., & Nacke, L. (2011). From game design elements to gamefulness: Defining gamification. In *Proceedings of the 15th International Academic MindTrek Conference: Envisioning Future Media Environments* (pp. 9–15). ACM. [<https://doi.org/10.1145/2181037.2181040>] (<https://doi.org/10.1145/2181037.2181040>)
- Fredricks, J. A., Blumenfeld, P. C., & Paris, A. H. (2004). School engagement: Potential of the concept, state of the evidence. *Review of Educational Research*, 74(1), 59–109. [<https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543074001059>] (<https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543074001059>)
- Graham, C. R. (2006). Blended learning systems: Definition, current trends, and future directions. In C. J. Bonk & C. R. Graham (Eds.), *The handbook of blended learning: Global perspectives, local designs* (pp. 3–21). Pfeiffer Publishing.
- Heilporn, G., Lakhal, S., & Bélisle, M. (2021). An examination of teachers' strategies to foster student engagement in blended learning in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education* 18(1), 1–25. [<https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00260-3>] (<https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00260-3>)
- Hew, K. F., & Brush, T. (2007). Integrating technology into K–12 teaching and learning: Current knowledge gaps and recommendations for future research. *Educational Technology Research and Development* 55(3), 223–252. [<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-006-9022-5>] (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-006-9022-5>)
- Ibrahim, I., & Sleem, W. F. (2018). Team-based learning: An innovative teaching strategy for enhancing students' engagement. *International Journal for Innovation Education and Research* 6(1), 159–174.
- Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2009). An educational psychology success story: Social interdependence theory and cooperative learning. *Educational Researcher*, 38(5), 365–379. [<https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X09339057>](<https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X09339057>)
- Kexin, D., & Buang, N. A. (2024). Integrating innovative teaching strategies: Assessing the effectiveness of flipped classrooms, blended learning, and task-oriented methods in enhancing academic



performance in vocational IT education. *Journal of Digitainability, Realism & Mastery (DREAM)*,3(5), 94–108. [<https://doi.org/10.56982/dream.v3i05.241>] (<https://doi.org/10.56982/dream.v3i05.241>)

- Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. Prentice Hall.
- Maity, A. (2020). *Collaborative active learning: An effective study at training colleges. In Transition from traditional teaching methodology to online teaching (ISBN: 978-81-946375-3-0)*. Redshine Publication.
- Maity, A. (2020). *Investigating the benefits of project-based learning in science education. In New trends of teaching, learning and technology (Vol. 1)*. Redshine Publication.
- Maity, A. (2023). *National curriculum framework for teacher education: A new horizon in teacher education as envisioned in NEP 2020. Journal of Education, Ethics and Value, 2(9), 45–50*. [<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15738450>] (<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15738450>)
- Maity, A. (2025). *Teacher effectiveness in relation to ICT acquaintance among secondary teachers of Medinipur District of West Bengal: A study on demographic variables. American Journal of Social and Humanitarian Research 6(5), 1108–1118*. [<https://globalresearchnetwork.us/index.php/ajshr/article/view/3641>] (<https://globalresearchnetwork.us/index.php/ajshr/article/view/3641>)
- Maity, A., Maity, N. (2025). *Disparity in political participation in the local bodies: A case study of Ranchi. American Journal of Religious and Cultural Studies 3(6)*. [<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15738399>] (<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15738399>)
- Maity, A., Sanuar, S., & Ghosh, D. (2024). *An assessment of the socio-economic status of the minority girls students at secondary level in Paschim Medinipur district of West Bengal. Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*30(5), 9123–9127. [<https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i5.4522>] (<https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i5.4522>)
- Maity, A., et al. (2023). *Correlation between study habit, test anxiety and academic achievement of the male and female B.Ed. college students. Journal for ReAttach Therapy and Developmental Diversities 6(9s), 1872–1880*. [<https://doi.org/10.53555/jrtdd.v6i9s.2660>] (<https://doi.org/10.53555/jrtdd.v6i9s.2660>)
- Maity, A., et al. (2023). *Job satisfaction among secondary school teachers in Paschim Medinipur district in the present context. Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results*14(3).
- Maity, N., Maity, A., & Bairagya, S. (2024). *Innovation in teaching-learning process: Requirement of the present era. In Perspective issues and research in teacher education (ISBN: 978-93-92522-26-0)*.
- Majumder, R., & Bairagya, S. (2025). *Exploring teachers' perceptions on the provisions of NEP 2020 for teachers. Bharati International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development*,3(3).
- Pedaste, M., Mäeots, M., Siiman, L. A., de Jong, T., van Riesen, S. A., Kamp, E. T., ... & Tsourlidaki, E. (2015). *Phases of inquiry-based learning: Definitions and the inquiry cycle. Educational Research Review 14, 47–61*. [<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2015.02.003>] (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2015.02.003>)



- Prince, M. (2004). Does active learning work? A review of the research. *Journal of Engineering Education* 93(3), 223–231. [<https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2168-9830.2004.tb00809.x>] (<https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2168-9830.2004.tb00809.x>)
- Reschly, A. L., & Christenson, S. L. (2012). Jingle, jangle, and conceptual haziness: Evolution and future directions of the engagement construct. In S. L. Christenson, A. L. Reschly, & C. Wylie (Eds.), *Handbook of research on student engagement* (pp. 3–19). Springer. [[https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-2018-7\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-2018-7_1)] ([https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-2018-7\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-2018-7_1))
- Roy, S., & Bairagya, S. (2019). Conceptualisation of pedagogical content knowledge (PCK) of science from Shulman’s notion to Refined Consensus Model (RCM): A journey. *Education India Journal: A Quarterly Refereed Journal of Dialogues on Education* 8(2), 55–59.
- Sarkar, B., & Chakraborty, S. (2024). Innovative teaching strategies for enhancing student engagement in the classroom. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews* 5(7), 4893–4898.
- Thomas, J. W. (2000). A review of research on project-based learning. Autodesk Foundation. [[http://www.bobpearlman.org/BestPractices/PBL\\\_Research.pdf](http://www.bobpearlman.org/BestPractices/PBL\_Research.pdf)]([http://www.bobpearlman.org/BestPractices/PBL\\\_Research.pdf](http://www.bobpearlman.org/BestPractices/PBL\_Research.pdf))
- Tomlinson, C. A. (2001). *How to differentiate instruction in mixed-ability classrooms* (2nd ed.). ASCD.
- Trilling, B., & Fadel, C. (2009). *21st century skills: Learning for life in our times*. Jossey-Bass.
- Zhang, H., Yang, J., & Liu, Z. (2024). Effect of teachers’ teaching strategies on students’ learning engagement: Moderated mediation model. *Frontiers in Psychology* 15, 1475048. [<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1475048>](<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1475048>)

